


MapX-Council v2\_5  
v2 as amended 2/19/22

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined)	VAP MMA (>50% Combined)	Number of Split Geographic Units					Compactness Score  (Polsby-Popper Ratio)		
																Communities of Interest			Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups			
																Count	Split	Intact					
2	89,524	17,535	19.6%	22.0%	8,062	9.0%	8.3%	57,352	64.1%	62.4%	4,886	1,689	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	2	29, 40	17, 18, 42	NA	19	0.19		
3	88,186	48,689	55.2%	59.1%	13,597	15.4%	14.1%	19,301	21.9%	19.3%	4,057	2,542	TBD	NO	NO	4	7, 10, 2, 67	3, 4, 5, 6, 13, 14, 15, 22, 23, 24, 51, 68	NA	32	0.05		
4	87,764	48,479	55.2%	57.7%	9,287	10.6%	9.8%	17,366	19.8%	18.2%	9,195	3,437	TBD	NO	NO	1	20	8, 9, 33, 35, 36, 38, 39	NA	8	0.32		
5	94,929	21,063	22.2%	25.1%	38,790	40.9%	40.9%	25,750	27.1%	23.9%	6,696	2,630	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	2	65, 57	53, 55, 56	NA	7	0.12		
6	96,454	28,060	29.1%	33.5%	33,407	34.6%	33.0%	27,099	28.1%	25.2%	5,340	2,548	TBD	MMA	MMA	4	7, 10, 2, 71	1, 59, 60, 61, 72, 75, 76	NA	19	0.09		
7	88,356	52,009	58.9%	62.8%	8,757	9.9%	8.9%	20,781	23.5%	20.7%	3,714	3,095	TBD	NO	NO	2	29, 67	16, 27, 28, 30, 52, 62, 77	NA	13	0.05		
8	96,293	13,600	14.1%	16.9%	31,723	32.9%	34.0%	45,827	47.6%	43.9%	3,275	1,868	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	3	65, 25, 70	43, 44, 45, 58, 63, 64, 66, 69, 73	NA	25	0.08		
9	95,367	28,678	30.1%	34.9%	11,719	12.3%	12.4%	50,558	53.0%	48.1%	2,746	1,666	TBD	MMA	MMA	4	25, 40, 70, 71	11, 26, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 74	NA	22	0.32		
10	94,278	31,607	33.5%	37.7%	17,726	18.8%	18.1%	36,392	38.6%	34.8%	5,824	2,729	TBD	MMA	MMA	2	20, 57	21, 41, 54	NA	13	0.22		
1/11	87,764	46,903	53.4%	56.2%	11,301	12.9%	12.0%	19,410	22.1%	20.2%	6,841	3,309	TBD	NO	NO	1	29	12, 19, 31, 32, 34, 37	NA	12	0.04		
																918,915				32.5%			31.9%

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments
1. Approximately equal size	X			High/Low deviation <9,189 (8690)
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)	X			
3. Minority opportunity districts	X			
4. Communities of interest		X		<25% Divided (32.5%)
5. Contiguous territory	X			
6. Compactness		X		Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (District 11 = 0.04)
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	X			
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published
9. Census block groups		X		<25% Divided (31.9%)
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated



Map v3 Horseshoe

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined)	VAP MMA (>50% Combined)	Number of Split Geographic Units					Compactness Score  (Polsby-Popper Ratio)		
																Communities of Interest						Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups
																Count	Split	Intact					
2	87,825	17,094	19.5%	21.8%	8,096	9.2%	8.4%	56,234	64.0%	62.5%	4,756	1,645	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	29	17, 18, 42	NA	5	0.18		
3	93,512	51,838	55.4%	59.3%	14,586	15.6%	14.2%	20,076	21.5%	18.9%	4,232	2,780	TBD	NO	NO	3	7, 10, 3	2, 4, 5, 6, 14, 15, 22, 23, 24, 51, 67, 68	NA	20	0.04		
4	95,931	49,857	52.0%	54.8%	11,124	11.6%	10.8%	20,666	21.5%	19.7%	10,672	3,612	TBD	NO	NO	0		8, 9, 20, 21, 36, 38, 39	NA	0	0.34		
5	95,116	22,932	24.1%	27.3%	39,172	41.2%	40.4%	23,292	24.5%	21.8%	6,958	2,762	TBD	SMMA	MMA	1	57	53, 55, 56	NA	8	0.1		
6	86,782	24,153	27.8%	32.2%	30,857	35.6%	34.0%	24,548	28.3%	25.4%	5,028	2,196	TBD	MMA	MMA	3	7, 10, 71	59, 60, 61, 72, 75, 76	NA	12	0.09		
7	94,903	53,028	55.9%	60.0%	9,740	10.3%	9.3%	24,088	25.4%	22.4%	4,710	3,337	TBD	NO	NO	1	29	16, 19, 27, 28, 30, 37, 52, 62	NA	12	0.04		
8	87,961	13,524	15.4%	18.3%	32,111	36.5%	37.1%	36,908	42.0%	38.6%	3,547	1,871	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	57	25, 43, 44, 45, 58, 64, 66, 69, 70, 73	NA	12	0.08		
9	87,569	36,325	41.5%	46.6%	9,136	10.4%	10.3%	37,552	42.9%	37.9%	2,581	1,975	TBD	MMA	MMA	5	71, 3, 40, 46, 48	11, 13, 26, 47, 49, 50, 74, 77	NA	20	0.23		
10	95,937	54,928	57.3%	59.8%	11,203	11.7%	10.8%	18,251	19.0%	17.5%	7,721	3,834	TBD	NO	NO	0		12, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	NA	1	0.06		
1/11	93,379	12,944	13.9%	16.8%	18,344	19.6%	20.8%	58,221	62.4%	58.0%	2,369	1,501	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	4	57, 40, 46, 48	41, 54, 63, 65	NA	14	0.11		


918,915

24.7%

19.5%

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments
1. Approximately equal size	X			High/Low deviation <9,189 (9155)
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)	X			
3. Minority opportunity districts	X			
4. Communities of interest				<25% Divided (24.7%)
5. Contiguous territory	X			
6. Compactness			X	Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (Districts 3 & 7 = 0.04)
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	X			
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published
9. Census block groups	X			<25% Divided (19.5%)
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated

**Submitter Comments:** Create a Hispanic/Minority opportunity district in south Fort Worth. Keep as many Communities of Interest intact as possible.




Map v3 Horseshoe As Amended 3/22/2022

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined)	VAP MMA (>50% Combined)	Number of Split Geographic Units					Compactness Score (Polsby-Popper Ratio)
																Communities of Interest			Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups	
																Count	Split	Intact			
2	88,252	17,110	19.4%	21.7%	8,101	9.2%	8.3%	56,626	64.2%	62.7%	4,758	1,657	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	29	17, 18, 42	NA	5	0.19
3	88,584	49,637	56.0%	59.9%	12,978	14.7%	13.3%	19,240	21.7%	19.1%	4,050	2,679	TBD	NO	NO	3	7, 10, 3	2, 4, 5, 6, 14, 15, 22, 23, 24, 51, 67	NA	16	0.04
4	95,931	49,857	52.0%	54.8%	11,124	11.6%	10.8%	20,666	21.5%	19.7%	10,672	3,612	TBD	NO	NO			8, 9, 20, 21, 36, 38, 39	NA	1	0.34
5	95,146	22,934	24.1%	27.3%	39,179	41.2%	40.4%	23,307	24.5%	21.8%	6,962	2,764	TBD	SMMA	MMA	1	57	53, 54, 55, 56	NA	11	0.11
6	87,468	25,762	29.5%	33.9%	30,511	34.9%	33.3%	23,903	27.3%	24.5%	5,058	2,234	TBD	MMA	MMA	3	7, 10, 71	1, 59, 60, 61, 68, 72, 75, 76	NA	9	0.07
7	94,943	53,050	55.9%	60.0%	9,743	10.3%	9.3%	24,101	25.4%	22.4%	4,710	3,339	TBD	NO	NO	1	29	16, 19, 27, 28, 30, 37, 52, 62	NA	11	0.04
8	89,880	13,876	15.4%	18.4%	33,720	37.5%	38.0%	36,719	40.9%	37.5%	3,666	1,899	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	57	25, 43, 44, 45, 58, 64, 66, 69, 70, 73	NA	11	0.07
9	87,477	37,478	42.8%	47.9%	9,393	10.7%	10.5%	35,874	41.0%	36.1%	2,667	2,065	TBD	MMA	MMA	5	71, 3, 40, 41, 48	11, 13, 26, 47, 49, 50, 74, 77	NA	19	0.24
10	95,937	54,928	57.3%	59.8%	11,203	11.7%	10.8%	18,251	19.0%	17.5%	7,721	3,834	TBD	NO	NO			12, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	NA	3	0.05
1/11	95,297	11,991	12.6%	15.3%	18,417	19.3%	20.5%	61,149	64.2%	60.1%	2,310	1,430	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	4	57, 40, 41, 48	46, 63, 65	NA	27	0.17
918,915																24.7%			21.2%		

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments
1. Approximately equal size	X			High/Low deviation <9,189 (8469)
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)	X			
3. Minority opportunity districts	X			
4. Communities of interest	X			<25% Divided (24.7%)
5. Contiguous territory	X			
6. Compactness		X		Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (Districts 3 & 7 = 0.04)
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	X			
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published
9. Census block groups	X			<25% Divided (21.2%)
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated

Submitter Comments:




Map v3 As Amended 3/22/2022

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined)	VAP MMA (>50% Combined)	Number of Split Geographic Units					Compactness Score (Polsby-Popper Ratio)
																Communities of Interest			Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups	
																Count	Split	Intact			
2	90,740	14,706	23.2%	9.3%	5,362	9.3%	8.5%	58,001	63.9%	62.3%	3,586	9,085	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	29	42	NA	10	0.19
3	91,048	42,179	59.0%	16.0%	10,449	16.0%	14.6%	19,464	21.4%	18.9%	4,162	14,794	TBD	NO	SMMA	3	7, 10, 3	2, 4, 5, 6, 14, 15, 22, 23, 24, 51, 67	NA	18	0.04
4	94,788	36,650	53.1%	12.0%	7,665	12.0%	11.1%	20,639	21.8%	20.0%	11,676	18,158	TBD	NO	SMMA	1	38	8, 9, 18, 20, 21, 39	NA	4	0.34
5	94,679	19,170	27.1%	41.1%	28,586	41.1%	40.4%	23,382	24.7%	22.0%	6,953	16,588	TBD	MMA	MMA	1	57	53, 55, 56	NA	10	0.11
6	89,423	21,733	32.8%	34.5%	21,900	34.5%	33.0%	25,847	28.9%	25.9%	5,086	14,857	TBD	MMA	MMA	3	7, 10, 71	1, 59, 60, 61, 68, 72, 75, 76	NA	15	0.07
7	94,686	42,954	61.2%	10.1%	6,405	10.1%	9.1%	22,913	24.2%	21.4%	4,717	17,697	TBD	NO	SMMA	2	29, 12	16, 17, 19, 27, 28, 30, 37, 52, 62	NA	12	0.04
8	88,566	11,474	18.6%	36.6%	22,858	36.6%	37.1%	36,853	41.6%	38.2%	3,643	13,738	TBD	SMMA	MMA	2	57, 45	25, 43, 44, 58, 64, 66, 69, 70, 73	NA	18	0.07
9	94,592	32,031	43.1%	9.4%	6,921	9.4%	9.3%	44,553	47.1%	42.2%	3,130	7,957	TBD	MMA	SMMA	3	3, 71, 40	11, 13, 26, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 74, 77	NA	20	0.24
10	94,725	38,734	60.6%	10.9%	6,437	10.9%	10.1%	17,793	18.8%	17.2%	7,813	23,948	TBD	NO	SMMA	2	12, 38	31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36	NA	4	0.05
1/11	85,668	11,205	18.4%	22.3%	14,268	22.3%	23.4%	50,391	58.8%	54.0%	1,808	7,996	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	4	57, 40, 42, 45	41, 54, 63, 65	NA	22	0.17
918,915																28.6%			25.0%		

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments
1. Approximately equal size	X			High/Low deviation <9,189 (9120)
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)	X			
3. Minority opportunity districts	X			
4. Communities of interest		X		<25% Divided (28.6%)
5. Contiguous territory	X			
6. Compactness		X		Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (Districts 3 & 7 = 0.04)
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	X			
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published
9. Census block groups	X			<25% Divided (25.0%)
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated

Submitter Comments:



X\_Revised\_v4amendedb  
MapX-Council v4\_2

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined)	VAP MMA (>50% Combined)	Number of Split Geographic Units					Compactness Score  (Polsby-Popper Ratio)
																Communities of Interest			Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups	
																Count	Split	Intact			
2	95,589	15,944	16.7%	19.3%	7,519	7.9%	7.2%	67,036	70.1%	67.9%	3,513	1,577	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	29	41, 42	NA	7	0.19
3	90,268	51,289	56.8%	61.2%	12,272	13.6%	12.2%	20,442	22.7%	19.8%	3,419	2,846	TBD	NO	NO	6	7, 10, 1, 24, 28, 23	4, 5, 6, 15, 16, 27, 51, 67	NA	20	0.04
4	95,531	49,318	51.6%	54.5%	11,203	11.7%	10.9%	20,831	21.8%	19.9%	10,548	3,631	TBD	NO	NO	0		8, 9, 20, 21, 36, 38, 39	NA	0	0.34
5	94,188	23,899	25.4%	28.7%	37,918	40.3%	39.3%	22,495	23.9%	21.3%	7,046	2,830	TBD	SMMA	MMA	1	57	53, 54, 55, 56	NA	9	0.1
6	87,056	24,244	27.9%	32.1%	32,190	37.0%	35.2%	23,480	27.0%	24.3%	4,958	2,184	TBD	MMA	MMA	5	7, 10, 1, 24, 71	59, 60, 61, 68, 72, 73, 75	NA	17	0.09
7	94,339	49,846	52.8%	57.1%	10,072	10.7%	9.6%	24,649	26.1%	23.2%	6,485	3,287	TBD	NO	NO	2	28, 29	17, 18, 19, 30, 37, 52, 62	NA	12	0.04
8	92,336	9,801	10.6%	13.2%	31,212	33.8%	35.9%	47,418	51.4%	46.8%	2,463	1,442	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	2	57, 70	43, 44, 45, 63, 64, 65, 66	NA	15	0.32
9	86,966	43,765	50.3%	54.3%	12,918	14.9%	14.2%	24,106	27.7%	24.3%	3,833	2,344	TBD	NO	NO	5	1, 23, 71, 48, 74	2, 3, 11, 13, 14, 22, 40, 49, 50, 76, 77	NA	26	0.17
10	95,700	55,273	57.8%	60.3%	11,055	11.6%	10.7%	17,911	18.7%	17.2%	7,657	3,804	TBD	NO	NO	0		12, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35	NA	2	0.05
1/11	86,942	13,244	15.2%	18.0%	18,010	20.7%	20.7%	51,468	59.2%	56.6%	2,652	1,568	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	3	48, 70, 74	25, 26, 46, 47, 58, 69	NA	14	0.08

918,915

32.5%

22.9%

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments
1. Approximately equal size	X			High/Low deviation <9,189 (8758)
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)	X			
3. Minority opportunity districts	X			
4. Communities of interest	X	X		<25% Divided (32.5%)
5. Contiguous territory	X			
6. Compactness			X	Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (Districts 3 & 7 = 0.04)
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	X			
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published
9. Census block groups	X			<25% Divided (22.9%)
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated

**Submitter Comments:** X Revised v4amended is solidly based upon Map X which was recommended to the Council by the Redistricting Task Force with the recommendation that Como COI be placed in D6. Additional feedback recommended that the Greater Historic Stop Six COI be placed in D5 along with Stop Six-Poly and Sunrise Addition. Pablo Calderon amended v4 map to incorporate these changes.


X Revised v4amended draws a connector for D3 to reach Camp Bowie Business District using the lake and watershed area from Locke Avenue to Vickery. However, this connector is incomplete; ask that Michelle make necessary changes to connect. Note: Pablo indicated that residences in the watershed are part of Como, not D3. Not included in the Camp Bowie Business District are areas that may have one or two businesses with the remainder being residences. Lovell and Donnelly are included in the Camp Bowie Business District whose southern parts contain residences.

Overton, Tanglewood, Colonial Hills, and Foster Park put in D3.

Westcliff remains in D9. Note: Rosemont and South Hills are split intentionally.

Handley is in D5 and D8.

Pablo split Oakridge Terrace. A small area southeast of the intersection of Seminary South and Campus intersection is in D8 and has about 2,500 and is 8% Hispanic. The area in the northwest is in D11 and has about 2,000 and is 50% Hispanic. There is a large area of vacant land between the two. Our preference would be that this not be considered



Map v4 Horseshoe As Amended 3/10/2022

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined)	VAP MMA (>50% Combined)	Number of Split Geographic Units					Compactness Score (Polsby-Popper Ratio)
																Communities of Interest			Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups	
																Count	Split	Intact			
2	89,114	17,161	19.3%	21.5%	8,069	9.1%	8.2%	58,805	66.0%	64.4%	3,454	1,625	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	2	29,41	42	NA	6	0.2
3	93,612	52,590	56.2%	60.0%	13,207	14.1%	12.9%	20,699	22.1%	19.4%	4,242	2,874	TBD	NO	NO	4	7,10,1,3	2,4,5,6,14,15,16,22,23,24,51,67	NA	20	0.04
4	93,452	48,797	52.2%	55.1%	10,761	11.5%	10.7%	20,038	21.4%	19.6%	10,301	3,555	TBD	NO	NO	0	0	8,9,20,21,36,38,39	NA	0	0.39
5	96,949	23,389	24.1%	27.3%	40,493	41.8%	41.0%	23,219	24.0%	21.3%	7,003	2,845	TBD	SMMA	MMA	1	57	53,54,55,56	NA	14	0.11
6	88,793	24,898	28.0%	32.4%	32,927	37.1%	35.3%	23,579	26.6%	23.9%	5,154	2,235	TBD	MMA	MMA	3	7,10,1	59,60,61,68,72,73,75,76	NA	13	0.08
7	94,617	52,564	55.6%	59.7%	10,071	10.6%	9.5%	23,621	25.0%	22.1%	5,052	3,309	TBD	NO	NO	1	29	17,19,27,28,30,37,52,62	NA	14	0.04
8	90,610	13,580	15.0%	17.9%	33,998	37.5%	38.7%	38,053	42.0%	38.2%	3,172	1,807	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	2	25,70	43,44,45,58,63,64,65,66,69	NA	15	0.06
9	87,866	38,576	43.9%	49.0%	13,044	14.9%	14.2%	31,006	35.3%	30.9%	3,030	2,210	TBD	MMA	MMA	6	3,25,26,40,47,48	11,13,49,50,71,74,77	NA	23	0.13
10	89,282	11,985	13.4%	16.3%	11,078	12.4%	13.1%	62,778	70.3%	66.5%	2,159	1,282	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	7	26,40,41,47,48,57,70	46	NA	28	0.14
1/11	94,620	53,083	56.1%	58.6%	10,721	11.3%	10.5%	18,038	19.1%	17.5%	9,007	3,771	TBD	NO	NO			12,18,31,32,33,34,35	NA	5	0.05

918,915

33.8%

25.9%

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments
1. Approximately equal size	X			High/Low deviation <9,189 (9083)
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)	X			
3. Minority opportunity districts	X			
4. Communities of interest		X		<25% Divided (33.8%)
5. Contiguous territory	X			
6. Compactness		X		Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (Districts 3 & 7 = 0.04)
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	X			
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published
9. Census block groups		X		<25% Divided (25.9%)
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated

Submitter Comments: