

## East Lancaster 2.0 (Phase II)

### East Lancaster Corridor and Sub-Regional Planning Study (CPN 104254)

Questions and answers as of 11:59pm, August 2, 2022

#### Solicitation Questions and Answers:

**Question 1: Where do the resumes go in the proposal?**

Answer: Please include them in Section Three – Responsibility and Qualifications. There is some flexibility based on your judgement.

**Question 2: Will you post the sign-in sheet on the City's website?**

Answer: Yes, it's currently posted on the City's website at [BIM 360 Document Management \(autodesk.com\)](#)

**Question 3: Is the East Lancaster Phase One Study available?**

Answer: Yes, it's available at this link [Advancing East Lancaster](#).

**Question 4: Will the recording of the pre-proposal meeting be made available?**

Answer: No, but the presentation is available on the City's website at [Contractors – Welcome to the City of Fort Worth \(fortworthtexas.gov\)](#)

**Question 5: How does the DBE/WBE get scored within the ten points in the evaluation criteria? Is exceeding the goal worth a certain amount of that 10 points?**

Answer: The % DBE/WBE is only a goal and not a requirement. Points will be based on meeting the goal and your good faith effort.

**Question 6: Should resumes for key staff be included with our submission and is there a page limit on them?**

Answer: Yes, and there is no page limit, but keep it brief for the readers. Graphics to accompany the narrative is encouraged.

**Question 7: If a section of the RFQ doesn't list a maximum page requirement, does that mean there isn't a page requirement for that section? For example, number 3 of the proposal requirements (Responsibility and Qualifications) doesn't list a page limit.**

Answer: If there is no page limit listed, then there is no limit. Just keep it substantive and concise.

**Question 8: For the 10-page requirement of the project approach, will one page be counted as single sided or double sided?**

Answer: One page, double-sided. So, ten (10) pages total but feel free to use both sides. A few larger pages that fold out are acceptable as well.

**Question 9: What is the anticipated start and end date for this project?**

Answer: The goal is to have a notice to proceed issued by late October or early November 2022 with completion via adoption 18 months after (Spring 2024).

**Question 10: Scoping Question: the first bullet under "Develop Alternatives land use and transportation" mentions technology. Can you provide clarification on the term? It is referring to the mode, ITS infrastructure, some combination of both, or something entirely different? If it referring to something different, please provide a definition.**

Answer: We are thinking fiber conduit, wi-fi smart signals, curb management, connected technology, real time data – so yes, ITS, operations, and safety. However, traditional modes that connect to smart infrastructure are on the table. Review how we define "Hot Corridor" in the RFP.

**Question 11: A Deliverable for Existing Conditions Says that a Level D SUE (Subservice Utility Engineering) report is required in coordination with TxDOT. In addition, Potential Tasks for Existing Conditions is a Level D SUE. Can you confirm that TxDOT will provide the entire SUE and that the consultant is only responsible for reviewing the SUE to “determine existing easements and any compensable property rights that existing utility may possess”?**

Answer: TxDOT will be conducting preliminary utility engineering (Level B SUE) on East Lancaster starting in Spring of 2023, focusing on the segment from Downtown to Handley. The segment east of Handley will not have as much detailed work under TxDOT’s current scope. The scope for that work can be discussed during contract negotiations to understand the schedule and level of details for that contract. You should assume that your proposal should include some high-level utility analysis with the alternatives for the other roadways (Brentwood Stair and Bridge Street) and the preferred transit route. The main considerations the City wants out of this work for other corridors beyond Lancaster, but including the other alternative corridors is fatal flaws such as potential utility issues or possible ROW needs.