

Results By Question

Source of Comments: Written Responses of Public and Stakeholders

Meeting Date: 1/26/2017

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

1) Before this meeting, did you know the City of Fort Worth had a stormwater fee?

		Responses		Answer Options
		Public	Stakeholders	
	1		0	1) No, unfamiliar with the utility fee.
	7		1	2) Yes, but did not know the amount.
	1		4	3) Yes, I know the exact fee that I pay.
Totals	9		5	Comments:

2) Before this meeting, how familiar were you with the City of Fort Worth Stormwater Program?

		Responses		Answer Options
		Public	Stakeholders	
	0		0	1) Completely unaware
	2		1	2) Mostly unaware
	4		2	3) Somewhat familiar
	3		2	4) Very familiar
Totals	9		5	Comments:

Results By Question

Source of Comments: Written Responses of Public and Stakeholders

Meeting Date: 1/26/2017

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

3) Should the investment in flood reduction capital projects be...

		Responses		Answer Options
		Public	Stakeholders	
	0	0	0	1) Reduced a lot
	0		1	2) Reduced a little
	0		2	3) Kept the same
	3		2	4) Increased a little
	5		1	5) Increased a lot
	1		1	6) Not sure
Totals	9		7	<p>Comments:</p> <p>Stakeholders - Very serious problem. Should be attacked and taxed accordingly. Look for other fees or funding streams. In theory, should be increased a lot. Make sure more simple cost effective approaches are used first. As new development percentage of total revenue increases, increase new capital projects. Ie. New development pays for maintenance and rehab of urban areas. I think you have to prioritize and achieve results over time without increasing budget/expenditures. Directed to debt service to get more bang for the buck.</p> <p>Public - We need to understand priority. Need more debt financing for this task. Investigate import fees for stormwater review. We need to understand priority. Discuss benefit cost ratio. Biggest bang for the buck.</p>

Results By Question

Source of Comments: Written Responses of Public and Stakeholders

Meeting Date: 1/26/2017

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

4) Should the investment in storm drain rehabilitation be....

		Responses		Answer Options
		Public	Stakeholders	
	0	0	0	1) Reduced a lot
	0	0	0	2) Reduced a little
	2	3	3	3) Kept the same
	3	2	2	4) Increased a little
	4	1	1	5) Increased a lot
	1	1	1	6) Not sure
Totals	10	7		<p>Comments:</p> <p>Stakeholders - Ideally should increase, but in trade-off with flood reduction the latter prevails. Take funds from capital projects be used in the area of major problems to reduce impact and occurrence using rehab funding. Storm drain rehab reduces its required maintenance.</p> <p>In urban areas as new development grows. Unknown. Until the assessment of the condition of infrastructure is completed, I don't know how this question can be answered. How much of maintenance is affected by poor storm drainage deterioration.</p> <p>Public – I have not given sufficient thought to the relative priorities for our city – do not agree that Increased a lot. If facilities are 100 years old and decaying, we need to protect on current investment.</p>

Results By Question

Source of Comments: Written Responses of Public and Stakeholders

Meeting Date: 1/26/2017

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

5) Should the investment in maintenance be...

		Responses		Answer Options
		Public	Stakeholders	
	0		0	1) Reduced a lot
	1		1	2) Reduced a little
	1		3	3) Kept the same
	6		1	4) Increased a little
	0		1	5) Increased a lot
	2		1	6) Not sure
Totals	9		7	<p>Comments:</p> <p>Stakeholders - Lots of older pipes need replacement mainly in inner loop. Same as rehab. Use funds to target serious flooding areas to see impact to see severity occurrence and impact assessment can be made before you spend substantial money. Ongoing in urban areas. I rarely see maintenance crews in my neighborhood.</p> <p>Public - Need more consultants to help.</p>

Results By Question

Source of Comments: Written Responses of Public and Stakeholders

Meeting Date: 1/26/2017

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

6) Should the investment in development services be....

		Responses		Answer Options
		Public	Stakeholders	
	0	0	0	1) Reduced a lot
	0		2	2) Reduced a little
	4		1	3) Kept the same
	4		2	4) Increased a little
	1		1	5) Increased a lot
	1		1	6) Not sure
Totals	10		7	<p>Comments:</p> <p>Stakeholders - Can this be outsourced to reduced costs to TAVA or COG? Increase developer fee for large projects. Scale up fees and use incentives that developers can adapt and implement to improve drainage. To promote increase in total revenues. To support economic development, investment in Development Services should increase to meet the need. Alternatively, reduced if there is a reduced need.</p> <p>We should continue to simplify development requirements (but not relax them).</p> <p>Public – The scope of development series is much too restrictive – entire neighborhoods are developed piecemeal and stormwater issues are not in my opinion, adequate, addressed in changing neighborhoods being reduced on a lot by lot basis. Keep same increase. Need more current or hire staff. Pending development (impact fee pay for consistent review)</p>

Results By Question

Source of Comments: Written Responses of Public and Stakeholders

Meeting Date: 1/26/2017

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

7) Should the investment in flood warning be....

		Responses		Answer Options
		Public	Stakeholders	
	0	0	0	1) Reduced a lot
	0	0	0	2) Reduced a little
	6	5	5	3) Kept the same
	2	1	1	4) Increased a little
	0	0	0	5) Increased a lot
	2	1	1	6) Not sure
Totals	10	7		<p>Comments: Stakeholders - Should be done for safety only. This has the largest impact on loss of life. Most areas that need warnings already have them. Public - I would want to see statistics before reaching a conclusion. Kept the same.</p>

8) Based on achieving the discussed vision, rank these service areas in order of importance. (1 = most important, 5 = least important)

		Responses		Answer Options
		Public	Stakeholders	
	1	1	1	A. Flood Reduction Capital Projects
	2	3	3	B. Storm Drain Rehab
	3	2	2	C. Maintenance
	4	4	4	D. Development Services
	5	5	5	E. Flood Warning
				<p>Comments: Stakeholders – In priority of urgency, improve where needed ongoing in urban areas (increase production safety only).</p>

Results By Question

Source of Comments: Written Responses of Public and Stakeholders

Meeting Date: 1/26/2017

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

Comments Received on Comment Cards:

Comment Card 1 from Stakeholder:

- Use an aspirational goal such as reduce stormwater runoff for new development by 50% by 2027. To do this you will have a current baseline for FW development model.
- Develop new standards for FW that result in less run off /techniques (all cost effective)
- Provide incentives for developers that adopt and implement. Recognize their work
- Measure the results of sample developments and see if results are improving

Comment Card 2 from Resident:

- Show me the money
- If not now, when?
- Mitigate vs. fix

Comment 3 from Stakeholder:

- Stormwater diversion onto smaller lots- under 1 acre; failure to enforce permit requirement by builders
- Lesser priority to the city than the big projects but important to property owners in neighborhoods being redeveloped