



Questions and answers as of 11:59 pm, August 8, 2022

**East Berry Street Corridor Design and Planning Study  
Berry Street Corridor Plan (CPN 104214)  
Solicitation Questions and Answers:**

**Question 1: One of the deliverables is a “Corridor Concept Plan.” Would that include a conceptual plan view layout of the proposed improvements?**

Answer: The concept plan is narrative, graphics, maps, sections and other design concepts. It helps visualize any study recommendations before they turn into policies and projects.

**Question 2: Can you please clarify what you mean by Baseline and No-Build traffic analysis? Assume that means Existing Conditions vs. Plus Project?**

Answer: Baseline would be based on “business as usual,” whereas no-build is based upon the assumption that nothing gets built, and nothing changes. Either of these scenarios can be used as the basis for recommendations.

**Question 3: Will the consultant team be expected to work with TxDOT or follow TxDOT standards for any portions of this road?**

Answer: Yes, as the corridor crosses many TxDOT on-system facilities. However, the corridor is a mostly locally owned facility and will follow City of Fort Worth standards for most of its length.

**Question 4: Can you explain more about the area of the Form Based Code? Is it along the entire corridor or certain nodes or greater area?**

Answer: The form-based code/regulating plan is going to be along the entire corridor, since the project is multi-modal, but it’s important to remember that this is for administration of public works. The emphasis should be on built form, street connectivity, location of parking, cross sections, street types, glazing, setbacks, etc... The City has multiple examples of form-based codes that may be used as templates.



Questions and answers as of 11:59 pm, August 8, 2022

**Question 5: Could you clarify the Form Based Code scope item: How many form-based codes documents (like the other adopted FW FBCs) are expected?**

Answer: There will be one for each urban village (3), and one for the overall corridor. Remember, form-based code will be basic; including street grid, connectivity, building setbacks and other elements of urban form.

**Question 6: Will the people in this video be the selection committee?**

Answer: No comment, however, this is a locally driven process.

**Question 7: How much detail are you looking for on utilities?**

Answer: We are looking for subsurface utilities engineering (SUE) - Level D. We need to know fatal flaws for this corridor before the City advances to Plans, Specifications & Estimate.

**Question 8: What are some things that get you guys excited about this project?**

Answer: We're ready to have a good plan to be able to go forward with some projects that are shovel ready, not just ready for construction, but also funding ready.

**Question 9: Do you have a sense of what type of firm makes sense to prime this work: I can see a strong argument for both a planning or transportation firm given different scope components.**

Answer: The scope is comprehensive, given the different scope components. We list out the types of firms that we think make sense.

**Question 10: How important is the housing and equity piece in this plan?**

Answer: It's essential because it's the underpinning of how we're doing our planning business in the City. We cannot be a sustainable world class city without everyone benefitting from the prosperity.



Questions and answers as of 11:59 pm, August 8, 2022

**Question 11: Are there any historic racial issues/wounds we should be aware of in this area?**

Answer: Yes, this was a historically red lined area, and we are striving to ensure upward mobility and self-determination as part of this plan.

**Question 12: What is the expected scope of ArcGIS Urban/City Engine development and fiscal impact modeling? Are you looking for 3D renderings of the entire corridor length or specific catalytic development sites?**

Answer: Fiscal impact should tell us the before-and-after of revenue to the City based on cost of services and taxable dollars generated. The GIS rendering should focus on the urban villages, catalytic sites and one or two segments of the corridor as a sample. We expect much of the corridor will have similar densities.

**Question 13: Can you clarify the reference to the East Lancaster “Hot Corridor” concept on Pg. 4? Is this for awareness or also part of the vision for the Berry Street corridor? What is the level of “smart cities” conceptual design and recommendations expected for the Berry Street concept plan beyond fiber- readiness? (i.e. EV charging infrastructure, signal infrastructure upgrades, etc.)**

Answer: That was a typo, it should have read as below:

*The City and its partners are envisioning East **Berry** to be a “Hot Corridor” that includes the advanced technological infrastructure including fiber conduit and vaults, E/V charging, premium transit amenities, wi-fi, next generation traffic signals, and other smart cities improvements.*

**Question 14: Regarding the East Berry Corridor Design and Planning study proposal, are 11x17/foldout pages acceptable if needed for space (organization chart, graphics/maps, schedule, etc.)?**

Answer: Yes, fold outs are fine.