FORT WORTH. Stormwater Management Program Master Plan Update

Results By Question Source of Comments: Written Responses of Public and Stakeholders Meeting Date: 1/26/2017

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

1) Before this meeting, did you know the City of Fort Worth had a stormwater fee?

		Responses	
	Public	Stakeholders	Answer Options
	1	0	1) No, unfamiliar with the utility fee.
	7	1	2) Yes, but did not know the amount.
	1	4	3) Yes, I know the exact fee that I pay.
Totals	9	5	Comments:

2) Before this meeting, how familiar were you with the City of Fort Worth Stormwater Program?

		Responses	
	Public	Stakeholders	Answer Options
	0	0	1) Completely unaware
	2	1	2) Mostly unaware
	4	2	3) Somewhat familiar
	3	2	4) Very familiar
Totals	9	5	Comments:

3) Should the investment in flood reduction capital projects be....

		Responses	
	Public	Stakeholders	Answer Options
	0	0	1) Reduced a lot
	0	1	2) Reduced a little
	0	2	3) Kept the same
	3	2	4) Increased a little
	5	1	5) Increased a lot
	1	1	6) Not sure
Totals	9	7	Comments:
			Stakeholders - Very serious problem. Should be
			attacked and taxed accordingly.
			Look for other fees or funding streams. In
			theory, should be increased a lot.
			Make sure more simple cost effective
			approaches are used first.
			As new development percentage of total
			revenue increases, increase new capital

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

projects. Ie. New development pays for maintenance and rehab of urban areas. I think you have to prioritize and achieve results over time without increasing budget/expenditures. Directed to debt service to get more bang for the buck. **Public** - We need to understand priority. Need more debt financing for this task. Investigate import fees for stormwater review. We need to understand priority. Discuss benefit cost ratio. Biggest bang for the buck.

4) Should the investment in storm drain rehabilitation be....

		Responses	
	Public	Stakeholders	Answer Options
	0	0	1) Reduced a lot
	0	0	2) Reduced a little
	2	3	3) Kept the same
	3	2	4) Increased a little
	4	1	5) Increased a lot
	1	1	6) Not sure
Totals	10	7	Comments:
			 Stakeholders - Ideally should increase, but in trade-off with flood reduction the latter prevails. Take funds from capital projects be used in the area of major problems to reduce impact and occurrence using rehab funding. Storm drain rehab reduces its required maintenance. In urban areas as new development grows. Unknown. Until the assessment of the condition of infrastructure is completed, I don't know how this question can be answered. How much of maintenance is affected by poor storm drainage deterioration. Public – I have not given sufficient thought to the relative priorities for our city – do not agree that Increased a lot. If facilities are 100 years old and decaying, we need to protect on current investment.

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

		Responses	
	Public	Stakeholders	Answer Options
	0	0	1) Reduced a lot
	1	1	2) Reduced a little
	1	3	3) Kept the same
	6	1	4) Increased a little
	0	1	5) Increased a lot
	2	1	6) Not sure
Totals	9	7	Comments:
			Stakeholders - Lots of older pipes need replacement mainly in inner loop. Same as rehab. Use funds to target serious flooding areas to see impact to see severity occurrence and impact assessment can be made before you spend substantial money. Ongoing in urban areas. I rarely see maintenance crews in my neighborhood. Public - Need more consultants to help.

5) Should the investment in maintenance be....

6) Should the investment in development services be....

		Responses	
	Public	Stakeholders	Answer Options
	0	0	1) Reduced a lot
	0	2	2) Reduced a little
	4	1	3) Kept the same
	4	2	4) Increased a little
	1	1	5) Increased a lot
	1	1	6) Not sure
Totals	10	7	Comments:
			Stakeholders - Can this be outsourced to reduced costs to TAVA or COG?
			Increase developer fee for large
			projects. Scale up fees and use
Totals			incentives that developers can adapt
			and implement to improve drainage.
			To promote increase in total revenues.
			To support economic development,
			investment in Development Services

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

should increase to meet the need. Alternatively, reduced if there is a reduced need. We should continue to simplify development requirements (but not relax them). **Public** – The scope of development series is much too restrictive – entire neighborhoods are developed piecemeal and stormwater issues are not in my opinion, adequated, addressed in changing neighborhoods being reduced on a lot by lot basis. Keep same increase. Need more current or hire staff. Pending development (impact fee pay for consistent review)

7) Should the investment in flood warning be....

		Responses	
	Public	Stakeholders	Answer Options
	0	0	1) Reduced a lot
	0	0	2) Reduced a little
	6	5	3) Kept the same
	2	1	4) Increased a little
	0	0	5) Increased a lot
	2	1	6) Not sure
Totals	10	7	Comments:
			 Stakeholders - Should be done for safety only. This has the largest impact on loss of life. Most areas that need warnings already have them. Public - I would want to see statistics before reaching a conclusion. Kept the same.

Note: This does not include the electronic polling responses from the stakeholders, which is documented in the PowerPoint Slides. This is only a summary of the hand written feedback.

	Responses	
Answer Options	Stakeholders	Public
A. Flood Reduction Capital		
Projects	1	1
B. Storm Drain Rehab	3	2
C. Maintenance	2	3
D. Development Services	4	4
E. Flood Warning	5	5
Comments:		<u>.</u>
Stakeholders – In priority of		
urgency, improve where neede		
ongoing in urban areas (increas		
production safety only).		

8) Based on achieving the discussed vision, rank these service areas in order of importance. (1 = most important, 5 = least important)