

April 27, 2021

Mr. Erik Jonn Richerson 3318 S. Jennings Ave. Fort Worth, TX 76110

Via email: erikricherson@yahoo.com

Dear Mr. Richerson:

This letter serves as written notice that you are hereby declared eligible as a candidate for the office of Council Member for District 9 in the City of Fort Worth.

Texas Election Code § 141.001(a)(4) provides that a candidate for public elective office must "have not been finally convicted of a felony from which the person has not been pardoned or otherwise released from the resulting disabilities."

My office has previously been presented with certified copies of public records from Washington State reflecting that you were convicted of a felony in that state as well as public records from the Snohomish County Clerk confirming that you were not pardoned or otherwise released from the resulting disabilities. As a result, on April 16, 2021, I declared you ineligible to be a candidate for the office of Council Member for District 9 in the City of Fort Worth.

Today, April 27, 2021, my office was presented with the attached Certificate and Order of Discharge for Criminal Case No. 99-1-01568-6 from the Superior Court of Washington, County of Snohomish. This order signed by Judge Cassandra Lopez-Shaw on April 27, 2021, restores your civil rights effective April 28, 2016.

Because I was presented with a public record on April 27, 2021 that states your civil rights have been restored, in accordance with Section 141.001(a) of the Texas Election Code, I hereby declare you eligible to run as a candidate for the office of Council Member for District 9 in the City of Fort Worth.

Sincerely,

Mary J. Kays

City Secretary



Superior Court of Washington County of Snohomish

State of Washington, Plaintiff,	Criminal Case No.: 99-1-015(08-6)
VS.	Certificate and Order of Discharge (1 - CRORD, 2 - CRORDP)
Erik John Richerson, Defendant.	[] Certificate and Order of Discharge and Order for Issuance of Separate
SID: If no SID, use DOB: 10/12/1981	No-Contact Order (1 - CRORDN, 2 - CRORDPN)
	Clerks action required.
of Separate No-Contact Order under RCW	e and Order of Discharge [] and Petition for Issuance 9.94A.637. The court considered the motion, I submitted, and reviewed the relevant court records.
and all legal financial obligations	om the county clerk that the defendant has paid any and finds that the defendant has provided adequate intencing conditions, and there appears to be no discharge the defendant.
under this cause number and tha	nent be considered a satisfaction of judgment entered t the defendant be discharged from the confinement of the Department of Corrections.
obligations).	of (the date the cing conditions, including all legal financial
Or SA	
yOThe court finds good cause to wa verification of completion of all no	ive the requirement that defendant provide onfinancial sentencing conditions; and:
 the defendant completed co been more than five years s 	
	ant completed full and partial confinement on nd it has been more than five years since that date.
- /	s were satisfied on $4/28/2010$ (date).

The court orders that this document be considered a satisfaction of judgment entered under this cause number and that the defendant be discharged from the confinement and supervision of the Secretary of the Department of Corrections.	
The discharge is effective as of $4/28/2016$ (the latest date listed above).	
 [] The court finds that the defendant has provided adequate verification of completion of all nonfinancial sentencing conditions. 	
The court finds good cause to waive the requirement that defendant provide verification of completion of all nonfinancial sentencing conditions.	
The court orders that this document will be considered a satisfaction of judgment entered under this cause number and that the defendant will be discharged from the confinement and supervision of the Secretary of the Department of Corrections:	
effective on the later of:	
five years after the defendant completes community custody. [) was completed on 1/10/2008 (date)	
if none ordered, five years after the defendant completes full and partial confinement. was completed on 12/2011 (date)	
the date all legal financial obligations are satisfied. Msatisfied on 4/28///date)	
The court orders that, when effective, this discharge restores the defendant's civil rights not already restored by RCW 29A.08.520. This certificate of discharge:	
 is not based on a finding of rehabilitation and does not restore the right to ship, transport, possess or receive firearms or ammunition. does not terminate any obligation to register as a sex or kidnapping offender. does not terminate any obligation to comply with a no-contact order that excludes or prohibits the defendant from having contact with a specified person or coming within a set distance of any specified location. Any no-contact order filed separately from the judgment and sentence remains in effect. 	
[] The defendant remains subject to a no-centact order that was imposed as a part of the judgment and sentence in this ease and was not filed separately. This certificate of discharge is valid and effective only upon entry of a separate civil no-contact order with terms and conditions identical to those imposed in the judgment and sentence in this case. The defendant must pay the filing fee for the separate no-contact order. The court orders the defendant to comply with the separate no-contact order reissued under a new cause number on this date or	-
dated	
Dated: 4/27/2021	
Judge Cassandra Lopez-Shaw	
Presented by: Approved for entry without further notice:	
Defendant/Attorney for Defendant/WSBA No. Deputy Prosecuting Attorney/WSBA No.	

Cert. and Ord. of Discharge (CRORD, CRORDN) - Page 2 of 2 WPF CR 08.0650 (07/2019) RCW 9.94A.637