

DRAINAGE STUDY CHECKLIST

STORMWATER DEVELOPMENT SERVICES (SDS)

SDS@fortworthtexas.gov

Projec Name:	t Information:					Submi	ttal Date:
Locatio	n:						Plat Area (ac):
Descrip						Disturb	pance Area (ac):
Land U	se:					Constr	ruction Start Date:
Owner Information: Name: Company:			Engineer Information: Name: Firm:		PE N Firm	lo.: No.:	
Phone:			Phone:		Addi	itional	Design Contact:
Email:			Email:		Nam		-
Addres	s:		Address:		Phor	ne:	
					Ema	il:	
This D	rainage Study is submitted for the p Single-Phase Preliminary Plat Grading Permit	urpose	of supporting the following dominary Plat Final Plat	levelopi	nent applications (check Concept Plan (Multi-Pha Zone A (only) Flood Stud	ıse)	at apply): Infrastructure Plan Review Update To Previous Study
Attach	ments:						
	Sealed Report or Technical Memo		Drainage Area Maps		Hydrologic Analysis		Hydrologic Model Files
	Pre & Post Project Maps		Offsite Drainage Area Map		Land Use Maps		Soils Maps
	Downstream Assessment		Hydraulic Analysis		Hydraulic Model Files		Hydraulic Work Maps
	Detention Pond Checklist		Culvert Hydraulics Checklist		Bridge Hydraulics Check	dist	Dam Maintenance & EAP
	Record Drawings		Previous Applicable SWMP		Other (list):		

The Project would require the following items before starting Construction:								
	Recorded Maintenance Agreement	Offsite Easen	nents		Floodplain De	evelopment		CLOMR
	Public Infrastructure Plans	Park Convers	sion		Nationwide P	ermit		404 Permit
	Community Facilities Agreement	TCEQ Water	Rights		Grading Pern	nit		TxDOT Permit
	Future Improvements Agreement	TRWD Permi	t		Adjacent Pro	perty Letter		Utility Relocations
	Encroachment Agreement	Parkway Perr	mit		Other (list):			
Dosc	ribe any proposed waivers or variand	cos:						
Desc	the any proposed waivers or variant	ues.						
drain	aimer: This checklist is intended to a age study. The checklist is not an ex al, NCTCOG iSWM Technical Manua iples.	xhaustive list of requi	irements an	nd is not a	substitute for fa	miliarity with	the CFW	Stormwater Criteria
drain Manu	age study. The checklist is not an exal, NCTCOG iSWM Technical Manual iples.	xhaustive list of requi	irements an	nd is not a xperience	substitute for fa applying hydrol	miliarity with a	the CFW araulic eng	Stormwater Criteria ineering practices and
drain Manu prind	age study. The checklist is not an exal, NCTCOG iSWM Technical Manua	xhaustive list of requi ls, other relevant reso	irements an ources or e	nd is not a xperience	substitute for fa applying hydrol	miliarity with a	the CFW araulic eng	Stormwater Criteria
drain Manu prind	age study. The checklist is not an example al, NCTCOG iSWM Technical Manual iples. Item Description Engineering Report (Technical Memo	xhaustive list of requi ls, other relevant reso ofor simple projects)	irements an ources or e	nd is not a xperience	substitute for fa applying hydrol	miliarity with a	the CFW araulic eng	Stormwater Criteria ineering practices and
drain Manu princ	Item Description Engineering Report (Technical Memo	xhaustive list of requi ls, other relevant reso ofor simple projects)	irements an ources or e	nd is not a xperience	substitute for fa applying hydrol	miliarity with a	the CFW araulic eng	Stormwater Criteria ineering practices and
drain Manu princ	age study. The checklist is not an exial, NCTCOG iSWM Technical Manual iples. Item Description Engineering Report (Technical Memo	xhaustive list of requi ls, other relevant reso ofor simple projects) n Texas	irements an ources or e	nd is not a xperience	substitute for fa applying hydrol	miliarity with a	the CFW araulic eng	Stormwater Criteria ineering practices and
drain Manu princ 1. I a	Item Description Engineering Report (Technical Memo Signed and sealed by PE Licensed in Design methodology Key assumptions and unusual condit	xhaustive list of requi ls, other relevant reso ofor simple projects) in Texas	irements an ources or e	nd is not a xperience	substitute for fa applying hydrol	miliarity with a	the CFW araulic eng	Stormwater Criteria ineering practices and
1. I a	Item Description Engineering Report (Technical Memo Signed and sealed by PE Licensed in Design methodology Key assumptions and unusual condit Downstream assessment throughout	thaustive list of requires, other relevant resolutions to for simple projects) Texas tions t Zone of Influence	irements an ources or e	nd is not a xperience	substitute for fa applying hydrol	miliarity with a	the CFW araulic eng	Stormwater Criteria ineering practices and
1. I a b c	Item Description Engineering Report (Technical Memo Signed and sealed by PE Licensed in Design methodology Key assumptions and unusual condit Downstream assessment throughout Summary of results and comparison	whaustive list of requires, other relevant resolutes, other simple projects) in Texas tions t Zone of Influence of Pre/Post	irements an ources or e	nd is not a xperience	substitute for fa applying hydrol	miliarity with a	the CFW araulic eng	Stormwater Criteria ineering practices and

		Item Description	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Clarifications and Description
2.	Pla	anning and Data Collection				
		List and reference previous drainage studies, iSWM Plans or watershed plans that considered the project area. Note the source and date of contour or topography				
		information (2015 LiDAR contours freely available from the CFW GIS website).				
		Is there known or suspected flooding or erosion downstream of the project? (If yes, describe and identify)				
		Are there any known or suspected downstream constrictions such as undersized culverts?				
	e.	Are there any FEMA floodplains that require a flood study, CLOMR, LOMR, etc. If yes, list and reference any existing studies.				
		Are there any known or suspected wetland areas, mitigation areas, waters of the US, or other natural habitat features that may require consideration, 404 permit, nationwide permit, or state or federal permit?				
	g.	Are there any existing impoundments or dams that could be, or become, subject to TCEQ permitting?				
	h.	Are there any existing environmental concerns that would require special treatment or design consideration (e.g. fuel station, vehicle maintenance, auto recycling, illegal dump sites, industrial facilities, etc.)?				
3.	D е	pes this project provide opportunities for Low Impact esign? If yes, then describe. Preserve floodplains, streams, drainage patterns, natural storage, or steep slopes?				
	b.	Preserve trees, natural vegetation, wetlands, or other natural features?				
	c.	Drain runoff to pervious or vegetated areas?				
	d.	Utilize natural drainage systems (without erosion) instead of storm drain systems.				
	e.	Reduce pavement, minimize impervious cover or use alternative materials				
4.	Pr	e-Development Conditions Map				
		Project boundaries				
		Aerial photo representing existing conditions (imagery captured within 5 years of submission)				

		Item Description	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Clarifications and Description
	c.	Perennial and intermittent streams				
	d.	Delineate effective FEMA floodplains				
	e.	Delineate wetlands and natural habitat areas				
	f.	Location of dams and impoundments				
	g.	Existing roads, buildings and other impervious features				
	h.	Existing major utilities, pipelines and easements				
	i.	Existing stormwater conveyance systems, including: overland flow, storm drains, inlets, catch basins, channels, swales, culverts, bridges				
5.	Po	ost-Development Map				
	a.	Limits of clearing and grading				
	b.	Proposed street and lot layout (SFR)				
	c.	Site plan (buildings, facilities, parking lot, etc.)				
	d.	Construction phasing plan				
	e.	Location and size of proposed storm drains and other stormwater controls (e.g. ponds)				
	f.	Proposed dams or ponds subject to TCEQ requirements				
	g.	Proposed FEMA floodplain limits				
6.	Pr	e-Development Drainage Area Maps shall include:				
	a.	Project boundaries				
	b.	Existing topography (1 or 2 foot contour interval, 5 or 10 foot for areas more than one square mile)				
	C.	USDA hydrologic soil types (or separate soils maps)				
	d.	Perennial or intermittent stream centerlines				
	e.	Delineate FEMA floodplains, studied floodplains, floodplain easements and open channels				
	f.	Location of wetlands, dams and impoundments				
	g.	Existing roads, buildings and other impervious areas				
	h.	Locations and size major utility lines and easements				

	Item Description	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Clarifications and Description
i	 Location, size, and City File Number for existing stormwater conveyance systems such as storm drains, inlets, catch basins, channels, swales, and areas of overland flow 				
j	 Locations and dimensions of channels, bridges, or culvert crossings 				
I	 Delineation of watershed or drainage area boundaries, with correctly orientated flow arrows 				
I	Delineate offsite drainage areas (1 or 2 foot contour interval, 5 or 10 foot for areas more than one square mile)				
I	n. Contours extend beyond project limits and offsite drainage areas to ensure the entire watershed has been delineated				
ı	n. Delineate longest flow path each drainage area				
(Provide time of concentration calculations for each area and lag time calculations for hydrograph methods. 				
i	computation table showing drainage areas, runoff coefficients or curve numbers, time of concentration or lag times, rainfall intensities and peak discharges for the 1, 5, and 100 year storms. Include a column to identify the collection point for each drainage area.				
(q. Location of all site outfalls or where runoff leaves the site				
ı	 Delineate entire zone of influence and identify analysis points. 				
,	s. Existing zoning and land use				
t	. Composite calculations for runoff coefficients or curve numbers				
l	 Drainage area and analysis point labels consistent with hydrologic and hydraulic calculations tables 				
7.	Post-Development Drainage Area Maps shall include:				
á	a. Project boundaries				
ŀ	 Existing and proposed topography (1 or 2 foot contour interval, 5 or 10 foot for areas more than one square mile) 				
(c. USDA hydrologic soil types (or separate soils maps)				
(d. Perennial or intermittent stream centerlines				
(e. Delineate FEMA floodplains, studied floodplains, floodplain easements and open channels				

	Item Description	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Clarifications and Description
f.	Location of wetlands, dams and impoundments				
g.	Roads, buildings and other impervious areas				
h.	Locations and size major utility lines and easements				
i.	Location, size, and City File Number for existing stormwater conveyance systems such as storm drains, inlets, catch basins, channels, swales, and areas of overland flow				
j.	Locations and dimensions of channels, bridges, or culvert crossings				
k.	Delineation of watershed or drainage area boundaries, with flow arrows				
l.	Delineate offsite drainage areas (1 or 2 foot contour interval, 5 or 10 foot for areas more than one square mile)				
m.	Contours extend beyond project limits and offsite drainage areas to ensure the entire watershed has been delineated				
n.	Delineate longest flow path each drainage area				
	Provide time of concentration calculations for each area and lag time calculations for hydrograph methods. Computation table showing drainage areas, runoff				
ρ.	coefficients or curve numbers, time of concentration or lag times, rainfall intensities and peak discharges for the 1, 5, and 100 year storms, for existing, proposed and ultimate conditions. Include a column to identify the collection point for each drainage area.				
q.	Location of all site outfalls or where runoff leaves the site, including labels with pre/post/ultimate discharges.				
r.	Proposed and ultimate zoning and land use				
s.	Identify changes to watershed boundaries				
t.	Composite calculations for runoff coefficients or curve numbers				
u.	Delineate entire zone of influence and identify analysis points.				
٧.	Show downstream constrictions with runoff controls				
W.	When the development is a multi-phase project provide an overall drainage area map with all phases labeled.				
X.	Proposed stormwater facilities with private maintenance (includes private storm drains, if detention is proposed, provide volume required)	_			

		Item Description	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Clarifications and Description
	у.	Drainage area and analysis point labels consistent with hydrologic and hydraulic calculations tables.				
8.	Hy	ydrologic Analysis				
	-	Analysis methodology and inputs conform to Chapter 3.4 and relevant sections of the NCTCOG iSWM Technical Manuals.				
	b.	Selected hydrologic methods per Table 3.4				
	c.	Runoff coefficient and curve numbers per Table 3.5				
	d.	On site existing conditions per actual land use, not zoning				
	e.	Offsite conditions modelled as existing land use for comparison of pre- and post-development conditions				
	f.	Entire watershed (onsite and offsite areas) modelled per zoning or land use, which ever yields the highest peak discharge, for ultimate conditions hydrology.				
	g.	Ultimate conditions hydrology used for easement and stormwater facility sizing				
	h.	Unit hydrograph analysis performed using acceptable software package and models files provided.				
	i.	Modified Rational Method, if selected, was calculated using the equations described in the NCTCOG Hydrology Technical Manual, and not using a software package.				
	j.	The hydrologic analysis and downstream assessment is carried to, or beyond, the zone of influence based on the 10% rule of thumb. This is required even when detention is provided (except for the specific small site waiver).				
	k.	Hydrologic work map was provided and shows model basins and routing				
	l.	Junctions or calculation nodes provided at critical analysis points (e.g. at outfalls, culvert crossings, ponds, etc.)				
	m.	Reach modelling approaches applied per criteria manual and standard modelling conventions				
	n.	Pre- and post-development modelling include onsite storage (e.g. upstream of a road culvert) and floodplain storage to determine impacts of any watershed storage loss that result from the development				
	0.	Where a project discharges to more than one outfall, provide a corresponding analysis for each outfall				
	p.	Include mitigation design and analysis.				

		Item Description	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Clarifications and Description
	q.	All applicable hydrologic condition analyses, including but not limited to: existing, proposed, proposed with mitigation if applicable, and ultimate. A multi-phased development would include an additional condition for each phase.				
	r.	Rainfall depths per NCTCOG iSWM Hydrology Technical Manual.				
	S.	A summary results and comparison table was provided, and includes all junctions and design storms.				
	t.	Analysis for a Zone A floodplain includes all applicable design storms and complies with FEMA guidelines.				
9.	Ну	draulic Analysis				
		Analysis methodology and inputs conform to Chapter 3.8 and other relevant sections of the Stormwater Criteria Manual, the NCTCOG iSWM Technical Manuals, and applicable references (e.g. HEC-RAS manual).				
	b.	Standard modelling conventions are adhered to (e.g. ineffective flow areas at culverts, cross-sections perpendicular to flow, bank stations contained well inside the floodplain, etc.)				
	C.	For 1D analysis, Manning's n per Table 3.15, Table 3.16 and other relevant technical references.				
	d.	Proposed multi-barrel culverts designed with one of the barrel flow lines at the stream centerline, and other barrels set higher to establish a single low flow drainage path				
	e.	Provide a hydraulic work map including, but not limited to: aerial imagery, cross sections, inundation limits, stream centerline, structures, flow change locations, labels, proposed easement limits, etc.				
	f.	Provide a summary table that correlates cross-sections to hydrologic nodes or add hydrologic nodes to RAS workmap				
	g.	Analysis considers appropriate tail water and effect of coincidental peaks				
	h.	Analysis sizes all driveway culverts and demonstrates that roadside ditch design meets design standards.				
	i.	Mixed flow regime analysis is included if Froude number(s) is 0.9 or above (supercritical flow check).				
	j.	Analysis shows compliance with all applicable design criteria in Chapter 3.8.				
	k.	Analysis shows compliance with all No Adverse Impact criteria throughout the entire Zone of Influence				

	Item Description	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Clarifications and Description
I.	Results summaries for all design storms and watershed conditions are tabulated.				
m.	Summary tables include a comparison of pre- and post- development conditions at all cross sections and critical locations.				
n.	Culvert and bridge hydraulics checklists are completed and attached for all proposed hydraulic structures.				
0.	Where a project discharges to more than one outfall, provide a corresponding analysis for each outfall.				
p.	A dam breach analysis was performed and the results, dam maintenance plan and EAP are attached				
q.	Drainage structure sizes and easement delineations (ultimate conditions 100-year flow)				
r.	Flood elevations and corresponding minimum finished floor elevations for all potentially affect and proposed lots (ultimate conditions 100-year flow)				
S.	Any other information pertinent to the preparation and review of project documents, including plat and construction plans.				
refer to	ditional information about the requirements, standards, crothe relevant portions of the CFW Ordinances, Policies and tering technical publications.				
	under my responsible supervisto the best of my knowledge.	sion and I also un	that the i derstand	nformatio that an a	renced exhibits, documents and appendices were prepared n presented on the checklist, report, and attachments is correct cceptance of this plan by the City of Fort Worth does not waive ver request was submitted and approved.
	Signed:			Date:	
	Name:			Firm No	:
(Texas	PE Seal)				