Citizens for IRC FW - School Attendance Zone Plan- Byrwec Ellison
Citizen Map J

| District | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Total } \\ \text { Population } \end{array}$ | White | White\% | White VAP\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { African } \\ \text { American } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { African } \\ \text { American } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { African } \\ \text { American } \\ \text { vAP\% } \end{array}$ | Hispanic | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Hispanic } \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hispanic } \\ \text { VAPP\% } \end{gathered}$ | Asian | Other | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Minority } \\ \text { Opportunity? } \end{array}\right\|$ | MMA ( $250 \%$ <br> Combined)SMMA (>75\%CombinedMinority) | VAP MMA <br> ( $>50 \%$ <br> Combined) <br> VAP SMMA <br> (>75\% <br> Combined <br> Minority) | Number of Split Geographic Units |  |  |  |  | Compactness Score <br> (Polsby-Popper Ratio) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Communities of Interest |  |  | Voting Precincts | Census Block Groups |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Count | Split | Intact |  |  |  |
| 2 | 88,051 | 17,631 | 20.0\% | 23.3\% | 6,817 | 7.7\% | 8.2\% | 58,774 | 66.8\% | 62.9\% | 3,374 | 1,455 | TBD | SMMA | SMMA | 3 | 40,42,57 | 41,54 | NA | 17 | 0.13 |
| 3 | 92,621 | 36,746 | 39.7\% | 44.2\% | 26,237 | 28.3\% | 26.2\% | 21,935 | 23.7\% | 21.2\% | 5,347 | 2,356 | TBD | MMA | MMA | 7 | 5,7,15,67,71,75,76 | 1,2,3,10,24,59,60,61,68,72 | NA | 18 | 0.08 |
| 4 | 93,315 | 39,623 | 42.5\% | 46.3\% | 13,256 | 14.2\% | 12.9\% | 28,356 | 30.4\% | 27.8\% | 8,862 | 3,218 | TBD | MMA | MMA | 4 | 29,21,38,42 | 18,20,39 | NA | 16 | 0.12 |
| 5 | 91,391 | 21,901 | 24.0\% | 27.9\% | 34,901 | 38.2\% | 37.1\% | 26,561 | 29.1\% | 25.9\% | 5,408 | 2,620 | TBD | SMMA | MMA | 2 | 44,57 | 25,58,73 | NA | 13 | 0.11 |
| 6 | 88,219 | 17,617 | 20.0\% | 23.7\% | 20,198 | 22.9\% | 22.6\% | 45,705 | 51.8\% | 48.5\% | 2,827 | 1,872 | TBD | SMMA | SMMA | 5 | 46,48,69,71,74 | 16,27,28,51,52,62,77 | NA | 10 | 0.08 |
| 7 | 94,024 | 49,246 | 52.4\% | 57.1\% | 12,761 | 13.6\% | 12.1\% | 26,053 | 27.7\% | 24.3\% | 2,914 | 3,050 | TBD | NO | NO |  | 29,30,67 | 43,45,53,55,56,63,64,65,66,70 | NA | 11 | 0.03 |
| 8 | 94,118 | 7,519 | 8.0\% | 9.9\% | 35,497 | 37.7\% | 40.2\% | 47,269 | 50.2\% | 46.0\% | 2,533 | 1,300 | TBD | SMMA | SMMA | 5 | 40,44,57,57,69 | 4,6,11,13,14,22,23,26,47,49,50 | NA | 12 | 0.25 |
| 9 | 88,468 | 42,477 | 48.0\% | 52.0\% | 13,070 | 14.8\% | 14.0\% | 26,512 | 30.0\% | 26.7\% | 3,992 | 2,417 | TBD | MMA | No | 10 | 5,7,15,40,46,48,71,74,75,76 | 8,9,3, 3, 34,35,36 | NA | 25 | 0.27 |
| 10 | 94,295 | 54,694 | 58.0\% | 60.5\% | 9,276 | 9.8\% | 9.2\% | 17,278 | 18.3\% | 16.8\% | 9,229 | 3,818 | TBD | NO | NO | 2 | 21,38 | 12,17,19,31,32 | NA | 5 | 0.1 |
|  | 94,413 | 49,169 | 52.1\% | 55.2\% | 12,356 | 13.1\% | 12.0\% | 21,393 | 22.7\% | 20.6\% | 8,088 | 3,407 | TBD | NO | NO | 1 | 29 | 5,37 | NA | 11 | 0.05 |


| Criterion | Fully <br> Met | Partially <br> Met | Unmet | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Approximately equal size | X |  |  | High/Low deviation $<9,189(6,362)$ |
| 2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel) |  |  |  | Requires further research |
| 3. Minority opportunity districts |  |  |  | Requires further research |
| 4. Communities of interest |  |  | X | >50\% Divided (54.5\%) |
| 5. Contiguous territory | X |  |  |  |
| 6. Compactness |  | X |  | Polsby Popper ratio $\geq 0.05$ (District 7 $=0.03$ ) |
| 7. Identifiable geographic boundaries | X |  |  | NA/2020 Values not published |
| 8. Voting precincts |  |  |  | $<50 \%$ Divided (25.9\%) |
| 9. Census block groups |  | X |  | Not evaluated |
| 10. Places of residence |  |  |  |  |

Submitter Comments: Municipal district plan using high school attendance zones as building blocks. It's not pretty, but this plan creates municipal districts from 35 high school attendance zones as building blocks, to the extent possible. While most zones are in the $30,000-40,000$ range, some encompass populations of 50,000 to 75,000 or are not contiguous and must be split between two council districts.
The plan creates seven majority-minority districts by total population, six by voting age population. There are only four minority opportunity districts by voting age. Except for the northern frontier districts, the remaining districts follow the discarded $1-820$ rule

Zone populations of Birdville HS and Richland HS in Birdville $I S D$ are negligible.
20 Distict 4 contans Chis North Crowley HS and part of Crowley HS in Crowley ISD; also, the section of Arlington Heights HS in Fort Worth ISD south of $1-30$.

Saginaw HS and Diamond Hill-arvis HS.
District 5 contains all of Eastern Hills HS in Fort Worth ISD and Trinity HS in Hurst-Euless-Bedford ISD. Lamar HS in Arlington ISD is negigible population.
District 6 contains South hills HS in Fort Worth ISD and parts of Everman HS , Burleson HS, Kennedale HS and Crowley HS.
District 7 contains Daniel 9 Grade High School in Aledo ISD; Brewer HS in White Settlement ISD; and Western Hills HS in Fort Worth ISD. It also contains a portion of Wyatt HS in Fort Worth ISD
.
Strict 10 contains 9 Cenal HS and Southwest HS in the Fort Worth ISD.
Districts 11 contains Eaton HS and Northwest HS in the Northwest ISD and Saginaw HS and Boswell HS in Eagle Mountain-Saginaw ISD.

