Glencrest Civic league District Council Map1
Citizen Map P

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined) / SMMA (>75% Combined Minority)	VAP MMA (>50% Combined) / VAP SMMA (>75% Combined Minority)	Count	Split	Intact	Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups	Compactness Score (Polsby-Popper Ratio)
2	89,888	14,822	16.5%	20.0%	24,772	27.6%	28.3%	46,406	51.6%	47.2%	2,165	1,723	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	3	57,63,41	43,44,45,54,64	NA	16	0.29
3	93,210	15,332	16.5%	19.7%	30,520	32.7%	33.0%	41,557	44.6%	41.1%	3,870	1,931	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	63	25,58,65,66,69,70,73	NA	16	0.07
4	93,490	26,406	28.2%	33.1%	20,276	21.7%	20.6%	40,907	43.8%	40.1%	3,854	2,047	TBD	MMA	MMA	2	67,11	48,71,72,74,75,76	NA	18	0.32
5	93,235	52,761	56.6%	60.0%	15,136	16.2%	15.0%	16,919	18.2%	16.1%	5,695	2,724	TBD	NO	NO	1	67	4,5,6,7,10,13,14,15,22,23,24,59,60,61,68	NA	18	0.08
6	92,147	46,126	50.1%	54.6%	14,614	15.9%	14.5%	25,633	27.8%	24.5%	2,877	2,897	TBD	NO	NO	1	11	1,2,3,16,27,28,30,51,52,62,77	NA	15	0.04
7	91,256	19,946	21.9%	25.8%	7,802	8.6%	8.9%	59,966	65.7%	61.4%	2,140	1,402	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	4	8,9,36,12	26,40,42,46,47,49,50	NA	20	0.16
8	90,490	53,163	58.8%	61.4%	9,726	10.8%	9.9%	17,074	18.9%	17.2%	6,785	3,742	TBD	NO	NO	4	8,9,36,41	31,32,33,34,35	NA	13	0.05
9	91,394	46,092	50.4%	53.4%	10,861	11.9%	11.0%	20,907	22.9%	20.9%	10,135	3,399	TBD	NO	NO	1	12	20,21,38,39	NA	14	0.19
10	90,995	41,612	45.7%	49.3%	12,840	14.1%	12.8%	25,111	27.6%	25.2%	8,387	3,045	TBD	MMA	MMA	1	12	17,18,19,29,37	NA	14	0.06
1	92,810	20,363	21.9%	24.8%	37,822	40.8%	40.8%	25,356	27.3%	24.1%	6,666	2,603	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	57	53,55,56	NA	6	0.12
	918,915																24.7%			28.1%

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments
1. Approximately equal size	X			High/Low deviation <9,189 (3,602)
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)				Requires further research
3. Minority opportunity districts				Requires further research
4. Communities of interest	X			<25% Divided (24.7%)
5. Contiguous territory	X			
6. Compactness		X		Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (District 6 = 0.04)
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	X			
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published
9. Census block groups		X		<50% Divided (28.1%)
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated

Submitter Comments: 10 new council district map - 10 new Council districts using in most instances natural boundaries for some neighborhoods. I believe that our map will allow for broader representation of different populations in Fort Worth.