Map Submission - City of Fort Worth- Map Maker
Citizen Map L

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined) / SMMA (>75% Combined Minority)	VAP MMA (>50% Combined) / VAP SMMA (>75% Combined Minority)	Count	Split	Intact	Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups	Compactness Score (Polsby-Popper Ratio)
2	96,009	7,125	7.4%	9.1%	33,043	34.4%	37.1%	53,396	55.6%	51.2%	1,105	1,340	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	57	43,44,45,46,53,55,56,63,64,65,66	NA	10	0.33
3	92,924	38,046	40.9%	44.5%	10,464	11.3%	10.3%	32,093	34.5%	31.6%	9,323	2,998	TBD	MMA	MMA	1	38	20,21,39,41	NA	6	0.25
4	96,150	41,685	43.4%	47.3%	9,174	9.5%	9.6%	38,980	40.5%	36.5%	4,137	2,174	TBD	MMA	MMA	1	67	1,2,3,4,5,6,11,13,14,15,22,23,26,47,48,49,50,74	NA	10	0.38
5	87,083	15,935	18.3%	21.8%	31,940	36.7%	36.0%	32,807	37.7%	34.9%	5.05%	6,401	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	0	0	25,58,59,60,69,70,73	NA	6	0.07
6	89,608	38,686	43.2%	48.0%	21,982	24.5%	22.7%	21,387	23.9%	21.1%	5.65%	7,553	TBD	MMA	MMA	0	0	7,10,24,61,68,71,72,75,76	NA	5	0.12
7	88,978	42,379	47.6%	52.0%	14,453	16.2%	14.9%	25,919	29.1%	26.1%	3.60%	6,227	TBD	MMA	NO	2	67,29	16,27,28,30,51	NA	11	0.04
8	94,050	28,919	30.8%	35.8%	7,298	7.8%	7.7%	54,513	58.0%	52.8%	1.77%	3,320	TBD	MMA	MMA	0	0	40,42,52,62,77	NA	9	0.24
9	88,899	45,161	50.8%	53.8%	11,458	12.9%	11.9%	20,897	23.5%	21.5%	9.27%	11,383	TBD	NO	NO	1	29	2,3,11,26,40,47,48,49,50,62,74	NA	7	0.07
10	90,209	52,422	58.1%	60.7%	9,271	10.3%	9.6%	16,581	18.4%	16.8%	9.08%	11,935	TBD	NO	NO	1	38	8,9,33,34,35,36	NA	4	0.09
1	95,005	26,265	27.7%	31.2%	35,286	37.1%	36.0%	23,263	24.5%	21.9%	7.64%	10,191	TBD	MMA	MMA	1	57	54	NA	5	0.19
	918,915																	10.4%			13.7%

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments
1. Approximately equal size	X			High/Low deviation <9,189 (9,067)
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)				Requires further research
3. Minority opportunity districts				Requires further research
4. Communities of interest	X			<25% Divided (10.4%)
5. Contiguous territory	X			
6. Compactness		X		Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (District 4 = 0.04)
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	X			
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published
9. Census block groups	X			<25% Divided (13.7%)
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated

Submitter Comments: This is a 10-District plan for the 2020 Redistricting process. This map considers the cohesion of most Communities-of-Interest in single districts, as well as focuses on placing areas of high growth on the "outer-edge" of the City's boundaries, while placing higher populations within the center 4-5 districts that will likely not experience as much growth in the coming 10 years. In addition, the splitting of block group geographies is kept to a minimum. Lastly, the concept of a pin-wheel has been applied where multiple districts contain land in the urban core, thus providing a variety of representation to citizens living there, rather than dominating that location with one council seat.