Plan12- Planner1
Citizen Map Q

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined) SMMA (>75% Combined Minority)	VAP MMA (>50% Combined) VAP SMMA (>75% Combined Minority)	Count	Split	Intact	Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups	Compactness Score (Polsby-Popper Ratio)
2	90,075	19,040	21.1%	23.4%	8,033	8.9%	8.1%	56,056	62.2%	60.7%	5,213	1,733	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	0	0	12,17,18,19,42	NA	6	0.16
3	88,190	46,064	52.2%	57.0%	14,358	16.3%	14.6%	22,431	25.4%	22.3%	2,729	2,608	TBD	NO	NO	0	0	1,2,3,51,52,62,67,77	NA	8	0.06
4	91,900	48,003	52.2%	55.1%	10,679	11.6%	10.8%	19,493	21.2%	19.4%	10,252	3,473	TBD	NO	NO	0	0	8,9,20,21,36,38,39	NA	2	0.41
5	94,093	25,645	27.3%	30.8%	35,712	38.0%	36.8%	22,606	24.0%	21.5%	7,221	2,909	TBD	MMA	MMA	1	57	54	NA	4	0.1
6	94,114	35,336	37.6%	42.4%	28,090	29.9%	27.6%	22,682	24.1%	21.5%	5,392	2,614	TBD	MMA	MMA	0	0	24,59,60,61,68,71,72,75,76	NA	6	0.16
7	88,663	51,078	57.6%	60.2%	9,968	11.2%	10.4%	16,862	19.0%	17.4%	7,174	3,581	TBD	NO	NO	0	0	31,32,33,34,35	NA	4	0.05
8	92,486	10,335	11.2%	13.6%	27,564	29.8%	31.7%	51,237	55.4%	50.8%	1,850	1,500	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	1	57	41,43,44,45,53,55,56,64	NA	14	0.25
9	94,910	39,825	42.0%	46.1%	7,889	8.3%	8.8%	41,232	43.4%	38.9%	4,004	1,960	TBD	MMA	MMA	0	0	4,5,6,7,10,11,13,14,15,22,23,26,40,46,47,48,49,50,74	NA	9	0.48
10	88,977	45,474	51.1%	55.0%	10,644	12.0%	11.0%	24,926	28.0%	25.1%	4,761	3,172	TBD	NO	NO	0	0	16,27,28,29,30,37	NA	6	0.05
11	95,507	15,823	16.6%	19.9%	31,432	32.9%	33.1%	42,311	44.3%	40.9%	3,978	1,963	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	0	0	25,58,63,65,66,69,70,73	NA	10	0.07
	918,915																2.6%			12.9%	

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments
1. Approximately equal size	X			High/Low deviation <9,189 (7,317)
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)				Requires further research
3. Minority opportunity districts				Requires further research
4. Communities of interest	X			<25% Divided (2.6%)
5. Contiguous territory	X			
6. Compactness	X			Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (All Districts ≥ 0.05)
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	X			
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published
9. Census block groups	X			<25% Divided (12.9%)
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated

Submitter Comments: Plan Objectives: Followed major thoroughfares and the neighborhood boundaries. I tried to keep as many together as possible.
Would like for McCart to be the solid boundary between District 6 & 11, but population wouldn't allow. To account for growth let the block south of Risinger, and east of McCart belong to District 11.