## John Davis proposed City Council District lines Citizen Map A

District	Total Population	White	White %	White VAP%	African American	African American %	African American VAP%	Hispanic	Hispanic %	Hispanic VAP%	Asian	Other	Minority Opportunity?	MMA (>50% Combined)	(>50%	Number of Split Geographic Units					Compactness Score
																Communities of Interest					
														SMMA (>75% Combined Minority)	VAP SMMA (>75% Combined Minority)	Count	Split	Intact	Voting Precincts	Census Block Groups	(Polsby-Popper Ratio)
2	91,709	49,010	53.4%	56.0%	10,475	11.4%	10.6%	18,439	20.1%	18.5%	10,369	3,416	TBD	NO	NO	3	35,20,21	8,9,36,38,39	NA	9	0.31
3	91,911	28,448	31.0%	35.5%	6,269	6.8%	6.3%	53,711	58.4%	54.2%	1,591	1,892	TBD	MMA	MMA	7	29,41,42,40,52,67,28,77	30,52,62	NA	27	0.05
4	91,896	39,666	43.2%	47.2%	12,559	13.7%	12.4%	28,568	31.1%	28.1%	7,979	3,124	TBD	MMA	MMA	5	20,21,29,41,42	37	NA	17	0.07
5	91,893	45,299	49.3%	52.9%	13,061	14.2%	14.1%	27,230	29.6%	26.1%	4,003	2,300	TBD	MMA	NO	8	41,40,52,67,11,7,43,44,77	1,2,3,4,5,6,10,13,14,15,22,23	NA	27	0.23
6	91,892	26,578	28.9%	33.4%	12,257	13.3%	13.0%	48,181	52.4%	48.4%	2,981	1,895	TBD	MMA	MMA	4	11,70,25,71	26,46, 47,48, 49,50, 69,72, 74,76	NA	10	0.33
7	91,892	41,062	44.7%	49.5%	20,694	22.5%	20.6%	23,391	25.5%	22.5%	4,023	2,722	TBD	MMA	MMA	4	67,7,28,73	16,24,27,51,59,60,68	NA	25	0.03
8	91,902	7,105	7.7%	9.4%	33,564	36.5%	39.3%	47,374	51.6%	47.2%	2,568	1,291	TBD	SMMA	SMMA	7	43,44,70,63,66,45,57	53,55,56,64,65	NA	16	0.24
9	92,030	22,598	24.6%	28.7%	30,935	33.6%	32.7%	32,108	34.9%	31.5%	4,040	2,349	TBD	SMMA	MMA	6	70,25,63,66,71,73	58,61,75	NA	17	0.06
10	91,892	25,341	27.6%	31.1%	33,958	37.0%	35.8%	22,602	24.6%	22.1%	7,165	2,826	TBD	MMA	MMA	4	41,44,45,57	54	NA	12	0.16
1	91,898	51,515	56.1%	58.6%	10,597	11.5%	10.6%	18,232	19.8%	18.3%	7,855	3,699	TBD	NO	NO	1	35	12,17,18,19,31,32,33,34	NA	8	0.05
	918,915													-		63.6%				31.5%	

Criterion	Fully Met	Partially Met	Unmet	Comments				
1. Approximately equal size	Х			High/Low deviation <9,189 (321)				
2. Legal compliance (per outside counsel)				Requires further research				
3. Minority opportunity districts				Requires further research				
4. Communities of interest			Х	>50% Divided (63.6%)				
5. Contiguous territory	Х							
6. Compactness		Х		Polsby Popper ratio ≥ 0.05 (District 7 = 0.03)				
7. Identifiable geographic boundaries	Х							
8. Voting precincts				NA/2020 Values not published				
9. Census block groups		Х		<50% Divided (31.5%)				
10. Places of residence				Not evaluated				

Submitter Comments: This map would give people fine and fair representation. This map would benefit all of Fort Worth.